

Clapham Terrace Community Primary School

# Pupil Premium expenditure and action plan 2017-18

2017-18

DATE	AMENDED BY
October 4 <sup>th</sup> 2017	Created by JM
October 5 <sup>th</sup> 2017	Amended by JM/AMc
October 16 <sup>th</sup> 2017	Proof read H Paxton ,ammnded JM

Pupil Premium Strategy: 2017-18 Estimated Pupil Premium Funding in 2017-18: £62,880 (financial year)

<b>Diversity</b> The school serves a diverse and rich community. 33% of pupils are from minority ethnic families. 18% of pupils speak English as an additional language. The school has 57% boys and 43% girls. We strive to ensure that the curriculum meets the need of the diverse range of pupils in the school, whilst also raising aspirations, challenging stereotypes and promoting equality of opportunity for all pupil groups. <b>Start Points</b>	<b>Deprivation</b> The school serves a community which, in part, reflects considerable social and economic challenges. The proportion of disadvantaged pupils is average: 45 pupils are eligible for free school meals (ever 6). The school's deprivation indicator is 0.19, which is broadly typical compared to national figures but has increased year on year for the last 3 years. These figures are believed to be disproportionate to the number of pupils that should receive FSM. Typically, at any time, more than 10% of pupils at the school have been supported historically or currently by Children's Social Care or through other agencies as a result of an Early Help Assessment. <b>SEND and Vulnerability</b>
Assessment on entry to the foundation stage shows that 30% of pupils have poorly developed communication skills, and that a high proportion of those pupils enter the school with attainment which is below or well below developmental milestones for the majority of children. This is beginning to change as the profile of our cohorts alter. There is a distinct difference between numbers of children eligible for free school meals in KS 1 (5) to KS 2(27) <b>Mobility</b> There are a number of children who arrive from overseas, with no English and little/no formal education. Currently 11 children with very limited English have joined us across the school over the last 3 years. Since Summer 2016 - 2017 there have been 7 New arrivals, 2 children who have been home educated have been integrated back into school. 1 child has been excluded 8 times from a local school in our cluster.	20% of pupils have Special Educational Needs, broadly in line with the national average. A high proportion of these pupils have social communication difficulties. 44% of these pupils are also those pupils who are eligible for Pupil Premium Funding. 73% of the children with SEND are boys (25% of the school) 3% of the school have EHCP plans .7 children, with 3 more in assessment stage (16% of the SEND register and significantly above the national average of 1%). The school has a designated Speech and Language (SP and L) unit on site, managed by the Local Authority. There are, currently, 5 children in the provision, many of whom are out of catchment. These children have a severe delay in language development and have specialist teacher input as part of their provision. The provision for SP and L is gradually being phased out as a result of LA re-organisation. This has led to 7 children being discharged from the base in 2016-17. These children have remained at Clapham and not returned to their catchment schools . All of these children demonstrate a high level of need for specific language

#### **Roll Numbers**

There are currently 217 pupils on roll including nursery pupils. Roll numbers have increased in all year groups and the school is close to being full. Demand for places has risen over the last 3 years, 2016-17 there were 90 applications made for 30 places. 2017 -18 80 applications were made. The mobility of pupils within year groups is due to EAL children, with most new pupils being from overseas with little or no English on arrival.

# <u>Clapham Terrace Pupil Premium Strategy (How the Funding is Targeted):</u>

Funding is distributed to ensure a whole school strategy creates an ethos of achievement for all pupils and disadvantaged pupils. Pupil Premium funding is used to benefit all Pupil Premium children and supports the more able pupils as well as those who are underachieving, by enhancing core provision as well as extending and deepening opportunities for learning beyond the core provision on offer. At Clapham Terrace we will be using the indicator of those eligible for FSM to ensure that Pupil Premium funding has the intended impact, however, given the schools context, we are also mindful that we may have many pupils who are equally disadvantaged (as a result of family situations or challenging circumstances) who may not meet the criteria for pupil premium funding, but are just as deserving of support. Therefore, we ensure that our support mechanisms and strategies can, where required, similarly benefit whole cohorts of pupils as well as other identified vulnerable groups and individuals, to ensure all our pupils are given the best possible opportunity to achieve highly. Funding supports these children in subtle ways across the school, while planned interventions and support ensure academic and pastoral interventions address areas where these children are making slower progress. In most cohorts, the numbers of disadvantaged children who have severe and complex SEND with EHCP plans or statements or who have a place in the allocated SP and L provision have meant the achievement gap has not been reduced for many of the the low ability disadvantaged. However these children have all made progress against their smaller step targets and have accessed a very full and varied curriculum that has prepared them for the next stage in their education. Our strategy aims to support and involve parents and children without them feeling any stigma through being identified as part of a disadvantaged target group.

One key objective in using the Pupil Premium grant is to diminish the difference in attainment between pupil groups. Over the last 4 years we have been successful in ensuring children make good progress from their starting points and we have bridged the gap between disadvantaged children and non-disadvantaged for mid and top ability children. Careful analysis of internal and external data, progress rates and the use of qualitative data has ensured the funding is used to maximum effect. Robust self-evaluation and the use of case studies have supported us in using the Pupil Premium fund to greatest effect. We have also taken into account findings from the Sutton Trust report, other Local Authority advice and support to make informed choices around provision.

As a school we have invested in ensuring all teachers and teaching assistants have had training in phonics and investment has gone into ensure all reading resources are continually updated. Money has been invested in appropriate interventions for both maths and literacy to ensure gaps in learning can be addressed. We have made links with a number of external sources and have identified opportunities for children to have an enriched and enhanced experience of the curriculum. Projects in Science, the inclusion in many sporting opportunities, music and creative arts are some of the enrichment areas on which we focus to engage the children, particularity groups of disadvantaged children. We have also invested in enhancing pastoral care, engaging the most vulnerable families and taking on board training around mental health and emotional well-being. We have invested heavily in the THRIVE programme, a successfully proven approach to support children's emotional and mental well-being.

At Clapham Terrace, we have planned our spending carefully to ensure that it has been spent to maximum effect. This has meant making informed decisions about our spending, which is targeted as follows:

Using specialist or specific support to improve overall provision	Securing the progress of targeted learners through various interventions	Minimising the impact of barriers to learning and promoting cultural capital		
<ul> <li>Initiatives to improve 'quality-first' teaching in line with school priorities and the needs of pupils, which have been established through analysis of assessment information. (e.g maths 2017-18, progress in reading from start points Mmrking and dialogue)</li> <li>Targeted support in key year groups (particularly in Year 5 ) to ensure cohorts of pupils make excellent progress to expected levels or above, including more able, underachieving and disadvantaged pupils.</li> <li>Additional provision and support for Year 2 and Year 6 to secure good or outstanding progress in preparation for National Tests.</li> <li>EYFS: Time to Talk approach developed in Wave 1 teaching to boost the progress rates for language development as starting points are low. Extend this into Yr 1 after last years success</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Targeted interventions to support all vulnerable or underachieving pupils to make good or outstanding progress.</li> <li>Assessment information is used effectively and regularly, through reviews of pupils' progress, to strategically plan for provision and the deployment of skilled additional adults to provide support for targeted pupils and groups of pupils.</li> <li>Evaluations of interventions, including those funded by the Pupil Premium, consistently show that these are of a high quality and are having a very positive impact on overcoming barriers and supporting underachieving pupils to make progress.</li> <li>These interventions are also those which are based on clear evidence of their effectiveness, research-based approaches and have proven impact. (Nessy, Power of 1 and Plus 2, First Class Number, Letters and Sounds, Progress Indicator social groups, Time to Talk, Circle of Friends, Lego Therapy, Precision Teach.)</li> <li>Invest in reading recovery to support the development of early reading</li> <li>Gather detailed assessment information (Maths ages , reading ages) for the children who are making slower progress due to cognition issues</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Deployment of a pastoral support TA and other key staff to support and raise achievement of vulnerable pupils, in particular to support improvements in attendance, improve lateness and engage families. (Early Birds, Homework club, Mathletics, Little Stars provision, nurture provision)</li> <li>Tailored support for key vulnerable pupils, including pupils with emotional needs and with involvement from Children's Social Care. (THRIVE approach, nurture, pastoral input from inclusion manager or TA)</li> <li>Opportunities for all pupils, including disadvantaged pupils, to engage in activities which enrich their experience (inclusive sporting events, trips funded, artists in school, games club)</li> </ul>		

Year	Disadvantaged children	Amount per child	Total
2017-18	45 children	£1320	£62,880.00
2016-17	45 children	£1320	£63,360.00
2015-16	52 children	£1320	£68,640.00
2014-15	45 children	£1300	£58,500.00

# Targets for Pupil Premium 2017-18

	PP children target profile	Cohort target profile
KS2 Combined RWM	5 out of 8 are targeted for expected (other 3 SEND) 63%	89% EXP and above 25% G Depth
KS1 combined RWM	1 out of 3 to reach expected (other 2 agency supp 80% Expected and above 3 3% GD	ported SEND) 33%
Attendance	95% PP children 96% school target	

# Summary of Main Barriers to Learning and Achievement

The school makes <u>no assumptions</u> about any individual pupil or family's situation, including for those pupils eligible for the Pupil Premium. We are also very aware that the context of the school means that just because pupils are not eligible for the Pupil Premium, they may still face significant challenges and barriers to their educational success and therefore are just as entitled to receive high levels of support in order to thrive at school and in life. In very broad terms, we have found the following barriers are common for a number of pupils, including those eligible for the Pupil Premium, for whom the school needs to deploy additional resources in order to ensure that they are able to fully engage in their education and achieve well over time:

Low starting points on	Typically, around 30% of pupils enter the school with skills and abilities in key areas of development which are below
entry	the developmental milestones expected for their age. Pupils entered the school with particularly poor baseline scores in
	communication, literacy and Reading. Pupil Premium children have lower baseline scores on entry within the cohort. The
	last 2 years our intake in reception has had fewer pupil premium children 2016-17, 1 child, 2017-18, 1 child . This is a
	contrast to the number of children higher up the school. There are still, however, 30% of children below expected entry
	level.

	As a result of lower starting points on entry, a proportion of children with identified needs in language and literacy, do not pass the phonic screen in Year 1. Our phonic score has improved in 2016-17 (82%) EAL children new to the country form part of this group in EYFS and Year 1 who haven't achieved the standard.
Poor attendance	Attendance is an issue which requires ongoing monitoring and intervention. This has now improved by 1.4 % over the last 3 years, as a school, but a number of families still need ongoing support and, where necessary, intervention to ensure that overall school attendance sustains recent improvement and remains at least broadly in line with or above the national average. (A Roberts, leads a number of action plans for these children. In 2016-17 there were 8 children on attendance action plans. 5 of whom were PP children.
Poor parental engagement	A number of our families are difficult to reach and would prefer not to engage with school. They show lack of ambition or drive for school to be successful for their children. Completing homework, attending events and generally being involved in their child's development is not something they willingly participate in and persistence is needed on the school's part to engage them. This, on occasion, is due to them having had difficulty themselves during their own schooling. Without this partnership, the children's progress rate is slower.
Financial difficulties for a number of families	Some parents have a lack of financial ability to support their children with our school visits and our residential experiences as well as swimming provision and music provision. They also struggle to provider the correct equipment such as PE kits. A number of children can't afford to engage in extra curricular activities.
Social and Emotional Needs, including mental health concerns, that could lead to poor behaviour and/or concerns about wellbeing.	We have very high standards for behaviour at Clapham Terrace. We seek to create a learning environment in which all pupils can thrive, including those who may require extra support due to social/emotional difficulties. We want them to understand that, wherever possible, they are capable of solving their problems themselves and becoming resilient, confident and aspirational young people. A significant number of our children on our SEND register have emotional or social communication needs. 20children are measured using the Progress Indicators, as their starting points in these basic skills are limited, slowing their progress rate.
Ready for the next stage of education (Ks2 outcomes)	KS2 attainment is lower for PP pupils, overall, than for non-pupil Premium Pupils in reading writing and maths. This is mainly due to the fact that a large proportion of PP pupils are SEND children. This means Pupil Premium children with SEND do not reach the expected level ready for secondary school. Middle and Top ability Pupil Premium children do make at least expected progress.
Speech, language and communication needs.	PP pupils in EYFS and KS1 are significantly below in this strand of Development Matters. This slows progress in subsequent years particularly in reading and writing. With a number of pupils coming from an EAL background pupils also need support to help with language skills so they can communicate clearly throughout their school career.

# Monitoring and Measuring the Impact of the Pupil Premium

The in-year planning of targeted interventions (based on evaluation of impact) is driven by pupil level data and pupil progress review meetings which take place at least termly, or more frequently (e.g. Year 2 & Year 6), where required, for particular individuals, cohorts or interventions. Decisions about which pupils should be subject to which interventions or additional support is made dynamically, according to need, and always on the basis of evidence. Underachieving pupils are identified, as well as those pupils who may benefit from additional input to achieve higher levels. This utilises both assessment information, which is generated by the intervention itself, as well as assessments of pupils' progress through the school's assessment system.

In measuring the impact of interventions and strategies funded through the pupil premium, we always give due regard to the following basic expectations for the achievement and progress of disadvantaged (and other underachieving) pupils at our school:

- We expect <u>all pupils</u> to achieve highly and to make at least good or better progress from their starting points.
- Disadvantaged pupils are expected to make similar or better progress over time when compared with other pupils across the school (and where data is available, when compared with other pupils nationally).
- High ability disadvantaged pupils are targeted for attainment outcomes so that they achieve at least in-line with or better than the national average attainment at the end of Key Stage 2. In the majority of year groups, the attainment of disadvantaged pupils is lower, challenging progress and attainment targets should be set so that the gap is closed over time.
- All pupils, including disadvantaged pupils, are entitled to thrive through access to a broad, balanced and rich curriculum, which supports them to understand the context of their own lives, broaden their views and equips them with life skills.

# 2017-18 Pupil Premium: Summary Outline of Planned Expenditure

(Note: As outlined above, variations may be made mid-year, according to the needs of pupils, in order to maximise every possible opportunity to raise achievement and promote the best possible progress of pupils)

Area of	Ex	amples / Details of Support	Estimated	Expected Impact	
Support			/ Projected		Expected
			Cost of		
			Support		
Using	$\checkmark$	Additional teaching assistant time in years 6 and 2, in literacy and maths,	£7,417.80	Pupil progress from end of KS	Year 2 RWM
specialist or		given the substantially higher demands of the testing curriculum, to		1 for Yr 6 children in reading and	combined
specific		ensure that all pupils, including disadvantaged pupils, achieve highly and		maths to be good or better .	target 75-
support to		that the gap between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupil		Yr 2 children to make good or	80%
		narrows. 8 disadvantaged children are in the Y6 cohort, 3 of whom have		better progress from starting	

improve overall provision	identified SEND (1 in process of assessment for an EHCP , 2 with CP/ emotional needs)		points . Evidence of dialogue in marking for all PP children	Year 6 RWM combined target 80- 85%
	<ul> <li>Additional support from Head Teacher in Year 6 to improve progress for pupils. In maths x 3 sessions a week</li> <li>Induction support for Y6 teacher who is new to year group . Attend all moderation courses the LA offer</li> <li>Moderate with cluster schools</li> </ul>	£110.00 x 3 sessions x 12 weeks = £3,960.00 £110.00 x 2 days x 2 staff = £440.00	Continue to close the gap in attainment between disadvantaged in year 6 ( 8 children ) and rest of the cohort Evidence of dialogue in marking for all PP children Year 6 R 85% cohort -PP 88% W 90% PP 75% M 90% PP 88%	Current Y6 PP Reading score 88% expected . Writing 75% expected Maths 88% expected Make progress against this to bring scores closer to national Y2 RWM 1 out of the 3 PP children of track for expected , other 2 SEND
	<ul> <li>Additional adult input in Year 1 to ensure children with lower starting points make accelerated progress with phonics</li> <li>Track phonics accurately and effectively , leading to consistent additional adult input for EAL and SEND children who are slower to progress.</li> </ul>	<b>£7,417.80</b> £880.00 (4 KIT days)	TA time x 5 hours a week to accelerate the phonic progress of low ability children ( 3 children PP ) Kit days CA	Phonics score for disadvantage children to match that o pupils with similar starting point (pass phonics screen) . Low ability PP/

				disadvantaged to make progress on termly phonics testing
	<ul> <li>Additional SMT support in Year 2 to ensure provision is moderated and benchmarked against another school to maintain progress for GD for disadvantaged children (1 MA, 2 LA)</li> <li>Additional TA input for MA PP in Year 6 to ensure they achieve expected standard in RWM – specific booster provision on top of class support</li> </ul>	<b>£1,320.00</b> (6 days release) <b>£880.00</b> (4 KIT days)	Disadvantaged children make progress from their staring points 1 PP child MA to achieve expected	MA PP child in Yr 2 to achieve expected – 1 child Increased
		£646.68 £304.32	MA prior attainers to achieve expected level. ( 7/8 PP children )Y6	number of TA and MA PP children to achieve expected
		(2 hours x 12 weeks) £1,268.00	Progress of PP pupils: Mid and Top ability to broadly match or	
		(TA PM sessions)	exceed that of other pupils. Evidence of dialogue in marking for all PP children	
~	disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupils. Year 3 have 6 PP children Year 4 have 6 disadvantaged pupils of which 4 are LA,1 is MA and 1 is	£4,945.20 (MO PM)	Pupils to be in line with children from similar starting points at the end of Year 4 and Year 5 Evidence of dialogue in marking	Reduced gap between disadvantage in Year 4 and
4	TA and year 5 have 6 PP pupils 3 LA, 2 MA and 1 TA Provision and use of TA time to ensure missed learning in books identified and catch up opportunities created	<b>£2,967.12</b> (JMC)	Evidence of dialogue in marking for all PP children	Year 5 for M PP children

			and TA PP children Current achievement of PP pupils Year 5 R 43% expected, W 42% expected , M 43% /expected Year 4 R 29% expected, W 43%, expected, M 28% expected
<ul> <li>Maths cluster training day with a focus on reasoning to be attended by teachers across S Leamington</li> <li>CLIC sessions to be further developed in each class to improve reasoning and calculations skills within mathematics . Raise the confidence level of staff</li> <li>Additional TA sessions for LA PP children during CLIC</li> <li>Introduce testing with more rigour to ensure TA matches test outcome ( an issue with the MA PP throughout school )</li> </ul>	<b>£880.00</b> (4 days release) <b>£2,472.60</b> (CLIC 1 hour TA time across school)	Pupils are able to apply their maths skills to enable them to reach expected levels on the maths reasoning paper Maintain the number of children reaching GD Increase raw score on reasoning paper at Year 6 against last year breakdowns ( went up by 2 2016-17)	Close the GAP for PP pupils in maths in each cohort .All MA prior attainders who are PP to hit expected or above TA ability PP to achieved GD throughout school Y3- 1 child Y5- 1 child

A	support teaching and learning within their subject. Aim to raise achievement and ensure higher outcomes for all groups of learners, including disadvantaged pupils at all ability levels. Observations to be carried out and good practice shared	<b>£8,580.00</b> (1 day per week supply)	Sustain outcomes in each cohort particularly at GD in literacy, numeracy and science, building on the progress made in 2016- 17	GD percentages to be sustained in each cohort for TA children - of which 3 are PP children
	All work trawls and monitoring of pupil voice etc. to make comparisons between disadvantaged children and other children . Actively promote the participation of PP children in clubs and activities ( specific art club, mathletics)	Part of SMT role. Already accounted for as subject release	SMT monitoring to act on the differences found between PP and non-disadvantaged pupils. Adjust provision to reflect the findings	PP children to be represented in all leadership groups All PP children to have additional input in dialogue and marking in literacy and numeracy to demonstrate progress particularly of LA PP Current level of

	<ul> <li>Head Teacher and Inclusion manager to implement the recording system to improve impact measuring for all PP children- build consistency with this</li> <li>PP Governor to monitor the provision for PP children across school through talking to the children and the teachers</li> </ul>	Inclusion Manager ½ day per week <b>£4,680.00</b> (£120.00 x 39 weeks)	Class Teachers to be highly accountable for how PP children are performing and what is being done additionally to ensure they have appropriate provision. Prioritising additiona l support to focus on these key children ( MA and TA)	representation of PP children in pupil voice groups 8% at the start of 201617 , by the end it was ?? Sustain this Class Teachers to be able to evidence the impact of additional interventions for PP children and take ownership of adjusting provision at Wave 1 and Wave 2
	EYFS Leader to continue to develop skills to enable all staff within the unit to make continuous on-going assessments of children's learning in both guided sessions and in independent play.	<b>£2,340.00</b> Fortnightly sessions 19 weeks	All EYFS staff lead learning to raise the outcomes in language and communication and literacy.	EYFS target 73% C and L for those lower in baseline , progress to be accelerated
Securing the progress of	<ul> <li>Continuation of interventions with proven impact, including intervention for pupils who did not pass phonics test at end of Year 2.</li> <li>6 pupils in Year 2 of which 2 are PP LA. 2 children in Year 3 of</li> </ul>	10 Pupil Premium children	The impact of each intervention will also be measured through analysis of pupil progress data	PP children to make progress towards the

<ul> <li>Increase the number of children using Nessy</li> <li>25 children of which 12 are PP children</li> </ul>	per day	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
25 children of which 12 are PP children		intervention	LA) .
zo chalarent of what i z are i i chalarent	Nessy per	Progress on LA PP to be strong	All
Reading recovery programme to be invested in. Training for TA's , run across	child	against objective measures ,	intervention
the school for all LA PP children ( 1 Year 1 , 2 Year 2 , 2 Year 3 , 4 Year 4 ,	£3,708.90	THRIVE or BOXHALL and	records to
3 Year 5 and 1 Year 6		Progress Indicators to be used	demonstrate
	£500.00	as assessment tool for very Low	progress
Reading Recovery Training x 2 days for 4 TA's AB,SR,MH and AC as lead	PA		against
teacher	TA training		targets for L
	5		PP against
		1 3	THRIVE,
	5		BOXHALL o
			Progress
			Indicators .
	10 Pupil		
	5		
Training on HFW delivery of interventions Liz Lee (SIS)			
Shadowing of TA's trained in HFW to cascade this interventions	£220.00		
throughout school	(1 KIT dau)		
New KS2 reading resources for PP LA			
> Interventions put in place based on outcomes of Pupil Progress Meetings			
to intervene quickly to support any pupils causing concern or not making			
expected progress, including:			
	£57.06 (12		
	<ul> <li>Reading Recovery Training x 2 days for 4 TA's AB,SR,MH and AC as lead teacher</li> <li>Training on HFW delivery of interventions Liz Lee (SIS)</li> <li>Shadowing of TA's trained in HFW to cascade this interventions throughout school</li> <li>New KS2 reading resources for PP LA</li> <li>Interventions put in place based on outcomes of Pupil Progress Meetings to intervene quickly to support any pupils causing concern or not making</li> </ul>	Reading Recovery Training x 2 days for 4 TA's AB,SR,MH and AC as lead       #500.00         PA       TA training         #500.00       Reading         Recovery       New books         10 Pupil       Premium         Premium       children         10 minutes       per day per child         Recovery       £3,708.90         > Training on HFW delivery of interventions Liz Lee (SIS)       \$\$ Shadowing of TA's trained in HFW to cascade this interventions         > New KS2 reading resources for PP LA       £220.00         > Interventions put in place based on outcomes of Pupil Progress Meetings to intervene quickly to support any pupils causing concern or not making expected progress, including:	Reading Recovery Training x 2 days for 4 TA's AB,SR,MH and AC as lead teacher <b>£500.00</b> as assessment tool for very Low ability SEND         Impact of reading recovery regramme to show accelerated progress <b>F500.00</b> Reading Recovery New books       Impact of reading recovery performance to show accelerated progress         Impact of reading recovery New books       10 Pupil       Premium, children 10 minutes per day per child Reading Recovery <b>£3,708.90</b> Shadowing of TA's trained in HFW to cascade this interventions throughout school <b>£220.00</b> (1 KIT day)         Interventions put in place based on outcomes of Pupil Progress Meetings to intervene quickly to support any pupils causing concern or not making expected progress, including: <b>£20.00</b> (1 KIT day)         Lego Therapy: 2 children both PP       PP       PP       PP

Minimising the impact	Continued engagement of pastoral TA to support and raise achievement for vulnerable pupils, in particular to support improvements in attendance and to embed recently introduced systems.	£2,967.12	Barriers to attendance and engagement in learning are minimised. Unauthorised absence	PP attendance to be 95% Number of
		<b>£247.26</b> PA		
	• Art club Year 4 and Year 5 PP children	<b>£494.52</b> PA <b>£200.00</b> resources		
	<ul> <li>Plus 1, Power of Two: 5 children 5 PP</li> <li>Mathletics lunch time club 12 children, 5 PP children all year</li> </ul>	PA <b>£600.00</b> (30wks)		
	• First Class Number 4 children, 3 PP	£989.04		
	<ul> <li>Precision Teaching: 2 PP children</li> </ul>	<b>£247.26</b> PA		
	• Self-esteem development for 2 children, 1 PP	<b>£50.71</b> (12 weeks)		
	<ul> <li>'Volcano in My Tummy' for 3 children 2 PP</li> </ul>	<b>£494.52</b> PA		
	• Time to Talk / Chatter Matters for 2 PP children	weeks)		

of barriers to learning and promoting cultural capital	<ul> <li>Generate targets from THRIVE assessments for the children who score below the pass mark. Feed these into PLPs and adjust provision to address need</li> <li>Termly re assessments using THRIVE to measure progress in emotional development as cohorts and as individual pastoral groups.</li> <li>JMc to analyse findings from THRIVE assessments to enable pastoral groups to be generated , addresses gaps in the children's emotional profile</li> </ul>	<b>£1,000</b> PA Thrive <b>£304.32</b> (AR) <b>£750.00</b> PA	robustly challenged and reduced. Absence of pupils is reduced. No group of pupils is significantly disadvantaged by poor attendance.	children with attendance below 90% to be reduced , building on the progress made in 2016- 17
	<ul> <li>Alison Roberts and Anoushka Clarkson, to track attendance, implementing action plans for those most vulnerable. Action plans in place for 6 Children, of which 4 are PP. Communication with class teacher to improve through use of the PP and SEND class file.</li> <li>Counselling sessions to be offered to children who are struggling to deal with home situations. 4 pupils attending of which 2 are PP</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Pastoral TA to :         <ul> <li>Support parent relationships with the school, including organisation of coffee mornings / drop in sessions to help parents to engage with their child's learning</li> <li>Parent education classes – to enable parents to support pupils at home. Develop 1 workshop every half term for vulnerable parents</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Already accounted for above	Parents to be able to support pupils at home increasing parent engagement at school and pupils achievement.	100% engagement in parents' evenings to be sustained Reading percentages to be continue to
	<ul> <li>Engage with cookery project (Bayleaf cookery group) to promote and improve healthy life styles for our most vulnerable families (4 families autumn term all PP)</li> </ul>	Grant received to enable to put this project on -		improved for PP children

	<ul> <li>Engage Early Help (CAF) for families that require support</li> </ul>			
		<b>£1,483.56</b> (GS)		
_	Early birds breakfast club to enable children to have a smooth start to the day and aid transition. Currently 5, of whom 3 PP, attend	<b>£1,396.20</b> (SR)	Develop social and emotional skills to enable children to begin to access the full curriculum and	Breakfast clu used to continue to
	Little Stars lunchtime provision to support the development of social skills for the most vulnerable children . Currently 6, of whom 2 PP attend	<b>£997.43</b> (JI)	make progress against the objectives for their year group. Measure progress against Progress Indicators	improve attendance and lateness for PP
	Homework club and reading partners to focus on the PP children. Currently 12 children			children. Little Stars club to keep the number o
	Mathletics club to engage all PP children who haven't got support at home 10 children	<b>£1,237.28</b> (MDS)		red card incidents low at lunch time
	Board games club to improve emotional and social skill development. 4 children, of whom 3 are PP	£199.49		Number of respect time incidents to reduce, over
	Origami club in Year 6 - 2 PP children attending	<b>£56.00</b> Autumn		the year 100% of
	Art Club to engage PP children – 8 children attend	Term		children to produce hom learning ,
		£200.00		facilitated through scho
>	Nurture provision to support the learning for the most vulnerable children. Develop learning behaviours and emotional resilience to enable them to make stronger progress against their SMART PLP targets.	<b>£96.00</b> membership fee to Nurture	Pupils displaying a high level of emotional and behavioural needs make strong progress against objectives Progress Indicators /	Boxhall / THRIVE and PI to demonstrate

		Network <b>£3,500.00</b>	THRIVE and Boxhall used as measures	progress against objectives
A A	Boxhall to be used to generate targets for these children in nurture Thrive screen to be carried out on all classes. From this, those children falling below the average for the class will have personal targets in place. These targets will led to provision being put in place through nurture and pastoral support (GS x2 pm sessions, JMc x4 assembly groups, nurture x 5 pm sessions )	Not accounted for <b>£440.00</b> (2 days to complete screening)		
	Continued investment in enrichment opportunities for sport and creative	<b>£448.89</b> (1 hour per week TA3)	Opportunity to engage in a wide	PP
	arts, to increase opportunities for all pupils (including disadvantaged pupils) to gain cultural capital and experience. This includes paying for trips and residentials.		range of enrichment experiences to have a positive impact on pupils understanding of team-	engagement clubs and participation
A	Artist Project Marle Hall Residential Trip	<b>£550.00</b> (Artist)	work and life skills (linked to expectation that they take on greater responsibility at school). All pupils, including those in	in sporting events to increase. Currently
	Music provision for PP children funded	<b>£1,656.00</b> 6 children in Year 6	receipt of the Pupil Premium, learn and achieve exceptionally well, through a broad, balanced and enriched curriculum.	22% of extr curricular places are taken up by PP children
	Swimming lessons funded.	£55 per term x 2 children = <b>£330.00</b>		

		3 children in Year 3 <b>£144.00</b>		
		6 children in Year 4 <b>£240.00</b>		
		8 children in Year 5 <b>£320.00</b>		
	Trips Year 1 and 2 Warwick Castle 4 PP Year 5 and 6 Victorian Leamington 14 PP Year 3 and 4 Birmingham Museum 11 PP Whole School Panto 36 PP	£37.20 £42.00 £82.50		
	Provide children with a PE kit and uniform items they may require (book bags, PE bags Etc.) Ensure PP children are selected for inclusive sports events	£75.00	To ensure all identified children have a PE kit provided to enable them to take part in all activities with no barriers that will affect their self esteem	100% participation in PE and sport
	Provision of after school clubs - supported for PP children	<b>£48.00</b> (Liz England Street Dance)	Ensure PP children are able to access activities and experiences that promote a healthy life style and positive experiences which lead to success	Representation of PP children in extra- curricular activities to
	$\succ$ Sports or extra-curricular clubs for pupils in all year groups .	£150.00		continue to improve
Governor engagement	<ul> <li>Governors to have a clear overview of PP spending and the impact the funding is having .</li> <li>PP governor to talk to class teachers about the class provision for</li> </ul>		Critical challenge from Governors around impact of Pupil Premium expenditure	LA PP to make progress against

disadvantaged children and how progress is tracked. Termly meetings with SEND/Inclusion Governor to evaluate the progress and impact of this action plan		Full understanding of how PP children are monitored for progress with the aim to close the gap.	starting points MA and TA PP to achieve the same as non- disadvantaged children do from similar starting points
Estimated Pupil Premium Funding	£62,880.00		
Estimated / Projected Total Cost	£81,367.89		
Additional funding from school budget	£18,487.89		

# Impact of expenditure and strategy up to 2017

The schools evaluation of performance is rigorous. We measure the impact of the Pupil Premium grant by tracking every child's progress and knowing every child's needs. We can carefully identify any areas of weak progress and develop strategies or use interventions to promote improvement. The impact of quality Wave 1 teaching is constantly monitored, as is every intervention, using a variety of impact data including: Raise online, KS1 phonic data, KS1 and KS2 SATS data, EYFS data, LA data position statements and achievement and progress internal tracking data .

Pupils work is scrutinised regularly and lesson drop-ins and observations are carried out by all of the SLT. A number of case studies are used to show the impact of pastoral interventions and the impact of attendance actions plans. Pupil surveys and interviews are used to evaluate the impact of interventions and enrichment activities.

Regular feedback is given to parents and carers (termly consultations and a written report at the end of the summer term). Children with an EHCP plan or with PLPS, as they have an identified SEND need, have regular reviews to share PLP targets. Parents meet with agencies involved in setting targets to ensure children's needs are being appropriately met. Attendance data, behaviour logs, exclusion data and pupil progress meetings are all used when tailoring interventions to each child or when adapting provision to ensure impact on progress rates.

# Year 1 Phonics 2017

	Clapham Terrace Disadvantaged children	Clapham Terrace other children	Clapham Terrace all children	Warwickshire all children	National all children
2017	1 passed2 didn't (33%)	88% 22/25	82%	82%	81%
2016	1 passed 2 didn't (33%)	81% 21/26	76% (29 children)	82%	81%
2015	4 passed 4 didn't (50% )	64% 16/19	66%	77%	77%
2014	4 passed 3 didn't (57%)	89% 16/18	86%	76%	74%

# KS1 Expected level 2017

	Clapham Terrace	Clapham Terrace	Clapham Terrace	National	National
	Disadvantaged children	Other children	All children	Disadvantaged Children	All children
Reading	75% 3 out of 4	78%	73% expected Without SP and L: 81% (22 children )		76%
Writing	50% 2 out of 4	73%	70% expected Without SP and L: 78% (21 children)		68%
Maths	50% 2 out of 4	73%	70% expected Without SP and L: 70% (21 children )		75%
RWM	50% 2 out of 4	73%	70% - without SP and L 78% ( 21 children )		66%

# At Greater Depth KS1 2017

	Clapham Terrace disadvantaged children	Clapham Terrace Other children	Clapham Terrace all children	National disadvantaged Children	National all children
Reading	1 child /4 25%	11 children 42%	40%		25%

			Without SP and L: 44% (12 children )	
Writing	1 child /4 25%	7 children 27%	27% Without SP and L: 30% (8 children )	16%
Maths	1 child /4 25%	9 children 35%	33% Without SP and L: 37% (10 children )	20%
RWM	1 child/4 25%	7 children 27%	23% 26% Without Spa nd L (7 children )	11%

# Key Stage 2 Expected level 2017

	Clapham Terrace	Clapham Terrace	Clapham Terrace	Warwickshire	National
	disadvantaged children	other children	all children	all children/	all children
	12 children			disadvantaged	
Reading	33%	94% TA	61%	78%/54%	71%
-		TEST 81% - 3 didn't hit	Without SP and L:	GAP -23W	
		expected	65% (17 children )	GAP-19	
		GAP-44			
Writing	42%	94% TA	71%	82%/62%	76%
J		GAP -41	Without SP and L:	GAP-20W	
			77% (20 children )	GAP-16	
Maths	33%	94% TA	68%	79%/56%	75%
		TEST 96%	Without SP and L:	GAP-23W	
		GAP-46	73% (19 children)	GAP-18	
RWM	33%	94% TA	61%	68%/42%	61%
		81% TEST	Without SP and L:	GAP-25W	
		GAP-34	65% (17 children)	GAP-21	

# KS 2 Greater Depth

	Clapham Terrace disadvantaged children 12 children	Clapham Terrace other children	Clapham Terrace all children	Warwickshire All children/ disadvantaged	National all children
Reading	17%	38%	29% Without SP and L: 31% (8 children)	13%/32% GAP W19	
Writing	0	31%	18% Without SP and L: 19% (5 children)	9%/23% GAP W-14	
Maths	17%	44%	32% Without SP and L: 35% (9 children)	9%/27% GAP W-18	
RWM	0	13%	7% Without SP and L: 9% (2 children)	3%/12% GAP W-9	

# <u>Scaled scores</u>

	Clapham Terrace disadvantaged children 2 didn't sit	Clapham Terrace other children 1 didn't sit	Clapham Terrace all children	National all
Reading	94.5(9)	107.62	103	104
Maths	97.11	109.5	105	106

# <u>Attendance</u>

Attendance	2016-17
FSM Ever 6 children	95.86%

Rest of the school	96.25%
Rest of the school	10.2378

#### What others have to say about Clapham

#### 2014 Ofsted quote

"The pupil-premium funding has been used effectively to raise achievement for eligible pupils. This has resulted in the gaps in attainment closing rapidly from over two years between them and their peers in school to now being a year or less."

"The pupil-premium funding has also been used to make sure all pupils can and do participate fully in the life of the school. All pupils can attend school visits, participate in after-school clubs and all other activities, which show the school's full commitment to equal opportunities."

This report is updated and posted on the school website annually each November / December after the first publication of Raise Online data.

#### 2017 Inclusion mark

'The Headteacher and her committed team of colleagues have made impressive changes in recent years, both to the fabric of the building and to the standards of teaching and learning. The changes they have collectively made have ensured that all children, irrespective of their particular needs, receive the support they require and as a consequence, children behave well, enjoy their learning and make very good progress from their starting points. There is a very well embedded inclusive practice in all classrooms, supplemented by input by staff with more specialist knowledge. Furthermore, the school has created bespoke learning zones for nurture and for intervention and support which ensures that all children

'The school nurtures and supports every child, regardless of the level of need. Through very carefully planned support, guidance and intervention in addition to focused teaching and the social, emotional and behaviour support systems, children learn to become accepting of everyone around them and make good progress. The skills they acquire as a result of these strategies, enable them to become confident and well-rounded individuals.'

'Within the classrooms and around the school, are consistent systems to support and reward positive behaviour, to guide children in their learning, to provide them with the emotional language to understand their feelings and to interact positively with their peers. '

'Impact files are kept to capture the progress of those children in receipt of pupil premium funding. These provide clear indicators of the progress being made by this group of children'